

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 AIM IP LLC,) Case No. SACV 11-0533 RNB
12 Plaintiffs,)
13 vs.) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
14 CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., et al.,)
15 Defendants.)
16

17 To date, no proofs of service have been filed, and it therefore appears to the
18 Court that none of the remaining defendants has been served within the 120-day
19 period allowed for accomplishment of service of the summons and complaint under
20 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). By the Court's calculation, the service period
21 expired here on August 4, 2011.

22 Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 4(m) and Local Rule 41-1, plaintiff is
23 ORDERED to show good cause, if there be any, why service was not made within the
24 120-day period and why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice for want
25 of prosecution. Plaintiff shall attempt to show such cause by filing a declaration,
26 signed by plaintiff's counsel under penalty of perjury, within fourteen (14) days of the
27 service date of this Order. **If plaintiff does not timely file such a declaration or if**
28 **plaintiff fails to show good cause for its failure to timely serve, this action will be**

1 **subject to dismissal without prejudice for failure to prosecute.** See Fed. R. Civ.
2 P. 4(m); Local Rule 41-1; Link v. Wabash R.R., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S. Ct. 1386,
3 8 L. Ed. 2d 734 (1962); see also Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440 (9th Cir. 1988).
4

5 DATED: August 8, 2011
6
7


8 ROBERT N. BLOCK
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28